CS 5523 Lecture 10:
RMI details and invocation semantics

- *Continue with Java RMI from last lecture*
- *Java object serialization*
- *Callbacks*
- *Design issues for remote calls and invocation*
- *RPCs*
- *Invocation semantics*

Java object serialization:

- *flattens object(s) into compact form for disk storage or message transmission*

- *process doing deserialization has no knowledge of the object structure*

Serialization allows you to save objects to disk and read them back it. Serialization allows you to send objects over a socket or other communication stream and be able to reconstitute a copy on the other end.

Example of a Java object:

```
public class Person implements Serializable {
        private String name;
        private String place;
        private int year;
        public Person (String aName, String aPlace, int aYear) {
                name = aName;
                place = aPlace;
                year = aYear;
        }
        // methods
}
```

What do you have to do to serialize this? Ans: Nothing!

Figure 4.9
Indication of Java serialized form

Serialized values				Explanation
Person	8-byte version number		h0	*class name, version number*
3	int year	java.lang.String name:	java.lang.String place:	*number, type and name of instance variables*
1934	5 Smith	6 London	h1	*values of instance variables*

The true serialized form contains additional type markers; h0 and h1 are handles

Java serialization details:

▌ *Serialization file description:*

    AC ED *(magic number)*

    00 05 *(version number of object serialization format)*

▌ *Object representation:*

    73

    class descriptor

    object data

▌ *Serial numbers:*

    ▌ *class descriptors and objects only appear once in the file*

    ▌ *they are assigned 4-byte serial numbers*

    ▌ *the next time a class or object is encountered, it is specified by the serial number rather than the class description.*

Java serialization details (cont):

▌ *Class descriptor:*

    72

    2-byte length of class name

    class name

    8-byte fingerprint (based on first 8 bytes of HAS = Secure Hash Algorithm)

    1-byte flag (classes that implement Serializable have a flag of *02)*

    2-byte count of data field descriptors

    data field descriptors

    78 (end marker)

    superclass type or *70 if none*

▌ *If the same Class is used again in the file:*

    71

    4-byte serial number

Java serialization details (cont):

- *Field descriptors:*
  - *1-byte type code: (B = byte, C = char, D = double, … [= array)*
  - *2-byte length of field name*
  - *field name*
  - *class name (if field is an object)*
  - *2-byte count of data field descriptors*
  - *data field descriptors*
  - *78 (end marker)*
  - *superclass type or 70 if none*

- *If the same class is used again in the file:*
  - *71*
  - *4-byte serial number*

Java serialization details (cont):

- *Array representation*
  - *75*
  - *class descriptor*
  - *4-byte number of entries*
  - *entries*

- *Other data:*
  - *00*
  - *data value*

- *Representation of unicode values uses Universal Transfer Format (UTF)*

Writing your own serialization routines:

Simply implement:

> *readObject() and writeObject() for the special things.*

Java reflection:

- *Reflection is the ability to determine the properties of a class dynamically*
- *The Java package `java.lang.reflect` contains tools for analyzing classes.*
- *Remote object references may be passed as input arguments or returned as output arguments.*

Callbacks:

- *Instead of client polling the server, the server calls a method in the client when it is updated.*
- *Callback refers to server's action in notifying the client*
- *Client creates a remote object that implements an interface for server to call.*
- *Server provides an operation for clients to "register" their callbacks.*
- *When an event occurs, the server calls the interested clients.`*

Callback pluses:

- *More efficient than polling*
- *More timely than polling*
- *Provides a way of server inquiring about client status*

Callback minuses:

■ *May leave server with inconsistent state if client crashes or exits without notifying the server*

■ *Requires the server to make a series of synchronous RMI's*

Leasing can overcome the first problem. Event notification to address the second problem.

Design issues for remote calls and invocation:

■ *What are invocation semantics? (Local calls are invoked exactly once. Under what circumstances can this fail to happened for remote calls?)*

■ *Transparency (Local calls are made to in environment of the calling process. How is the choice of environment handled for remote calls?)*

Types of invocation semantics:

- *Exactly once semantics – every method is executed exactly once*
- *Maybe semantics – caller can not determine whether or not the remote method has been executed*
- *At-least-once semantics – caller either receives a result (in which case the user knows the method was executed at least once) or an exception*
- *At-most-once semantics - caller either receives a result (in which case the user knows the method was executed at exactly once) or an exception*

Figure 5.5
Invocation semantics

Fault tolerance measures			Invocation semantics
Retransmit request message	Duplicate filtering	Re-execute procedure or retransmit reply	
No	Not applicable	Not applicable	*Maybe*
Yes	No	Re-execute procedure	*At-least-once*
Yes	Yes	Retransmit reply	*At-most-once*

Figure 5.6
The role of proxy and skeleton in remote method invocation

Figure 5.7
Role of client and server stub procedures in RPC

Service interface (RPC):

▌ *A server provides a set of procedures available to client*

▌ *These procedures are specified by a service interface*

▌ *Input and output parameters are specified*

▌ *Use:*

  ▌ *When the remote procedure is invoked, the values of arguments corresponding to the input parameters are converted to a standard external representation and copied into a packet (marshaling).*

  ▌ *The client sends the marshaled packet to the server.*

  ▌ *The server demarshals the packet, performs the procedure, marshals the return packet, and sends the marshaled return packet to the client.*

  ▌ *Client demarshals the return.*

  ▌ *The entire procedure is concealed in the call.*

Figure 4.14
RPC exchange protocols

Name	Messages sent by		
	Client	*Server*	*Client*
R	*Request*		
RR	*Request*	*Reply*	
RRA	*Request*	*Reply*	*Acknowledge reply*

RPC based on TCP or UDP:

RPC can be based on TCP or UDP – what are the design issues with respect to invocation semantics?

Figure 5.8
Files interface in Sun XDR

```
const MAX = 1000;
typedef int FileIdentifier;
typedef int FilePointer;
typedef int Length;
struct Data {
    int length;
    char buffer[MAX];
};
struct writeargs {
    FileIdentifier f;
    FilePointer position;
    Data data;
};

struct readargs {
    FileIdentifier f;
    FilePointer position;
    Length length;
};

program FILEREADWRITE {
  version VERSION {
    void WRITE(writeargs)=1;        1
    Data READ(readargs)=2;         2
  }=2;
} = 9999;
```

Example: Sun RPC:

- *RFC 1831*
- *Used in the Sun NFS network file system*
- *Sometimes called Open Network Computing RPC (ONC RPC)*
- *Can use either UDP or TCP or broadcast UDP.*
- *Uses XDR as an interface definition language*
- *Only single input and output parameters are allowed*
- *Sun RPC runs a local binding services called a port mapper on each host*

For next time:

- *Read CDK 4.3 and 17.1-17.2*